



# Corporate Presentation December 2019

Asset | Team | Game Changing Technology

### Safe Harbour Statement

THE PRELIMINARY ECONOMIC ASSESSMENT (THE 'MEDIA LUNA PEA" OR "PEA") IS BASED ON THE TECHNICAL REPORT (DEFINED BELOW). THE PEA IS A CONCEPTUAL STUDY OF THE POTENTIAL VIABILITY OF MINERAL RESOURCES OF THE MEDIA LUNA PROJECT. THE PEA IS NOT A PREFEASIBILITY STUDY OF FEASIBILITY STUDY, AS THE ECONOMICS AND TECHNICAL VIABILITY OF THE MEDIA LUNA PROJECT HAVE NOT BEEN DEMONSTRATED AT THIS TIME. IT IS ALSO IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT THE NEW MINING SYSTEM TECHNOLOGY (SOMETIMES REFERRED TO AS "MUCKAHI") IS EXPERIMENTAL IN NATURE AND HAS NOT BEEN TESTED IN AN OPERATING MINE. MANY ASPECTS OF THE SYSTEM ARE CONCEPTUAL, AND PROOF OF CONCEPT HAS NOT BEEN DEMONSTRATED. DRILL AND BLAST FUNDAMENTALS, STANDARDS AND BEST PRACTICES FOR UNDERGROUND HARD ROCK MINING ARE APPLICABLE. THE PROPOSED APPLICATION OF A MONORAIL SYSTEM FOR UNDERGROUND TRANSPORTATION FOR MINE DEVELOPMENT AND PRODUCTION MINING IS UNIQUE TO UNDERGROUND HARD ROCK MINING. THERE ARE EXISTING UNDERGROUND HARD ROCK MINES THAT USE A MONORAIL SYSTEM FOR TRANSPORTATION OF MATERIALS AND EQUIPMENT, HOWEVER NOT IN THE CAPACITY DESCRIBED IN THE TECHNICAL REPORT. ASPECTS OF MUCKAHI MINING EQUIPMENT ARE CURRENTLY IN THE DESIGN STAGE. THE MINE DESIGN, EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE AND COST ESTIMATIONS ARE CONCEPTUAL IN NATURE, AND DO NOT DEMONSTRATE TECHNICAL OR ECONOMIC VIABILITY. THE COMPANY EXPECTS TO COMPLETE THE DEVELOPMENT AND TEST THE CONCEPT BY THE END OF 2019 FOR THE MINE DEVELOPMENT AND PRODUCTION ACTIVITIES. FURTHER STUDIES WOULD BE REQUIRED TO VERIEV THE VIABILITY OF MUCKAHI MAY HAVE USING THE MEDIA LUNA DEPOSIT AS AN EXAMPLE. THE PEA IS PRELIMINARY IN NATURE, AND EACH CASE, CONVENTIONAL METHODS AND MUCKAHI SYSTEM, THE PEA INCLUDES INFERRED MINERAL RESOURCES THAT ARE CONSIDERED TOO SPECULATIVE GEOLOGICALLY TO HAVE THE ECONOMIC CONSIDERATIONS APPLIED TO THEM THAT WOULD ENABLE THEM TO BE CATEGORIZED AS MINERAL RESERVES, AND THERE IS NO CERTAINTY THAT THE PRELIMINARY ECONOMIC ASSESSMENT WILL BE REALIZED. MINERAL RESOURCES THAT ARE NOT MINERAL RESERVES DO NOT HAVE DEMONSTRATED ECO

#### ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON THE MINERAL RESOURCES AND MINERAL RESERVES CONTAINED IN THIS PRESENTATION ARE INCLUDED IN THE APPENDIX (SLIDES 25 TO 29).

Total cash costs ("TCC") and all-in sustaining costs ("AISC") are financial performance measures with no standard meaning under International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"). Refer to "Non-IFRS Financial Performance Measures" in the Company's Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") for the year ended December 31, 2018 for further information and a detailed reconciliation regarding historical performance measures and for projected performance measures, see also the Technical Report (defined below) as updated in the Company's continuous disclosure documents.

This presentation contains "forward-looking statements" and "forward-looking information" within the meaning of applicable Canadian securities legislation. Forward-looking information includes, but is not limited to, information with respect to the future exploration, development and exploitation plans concerning the Morelos Gold Property (as defined in the MD&A), the adequacy of the Company's financial resources to fund such plans, business plans and strategy and other events or conditions that may occur in the future, and the results set out in the Technical Report including the PEA including with respect to mineral resource and mineral reserve estimates, the ability to exploit estimated mineral reserves, the Company's expectation that the ELG Mine Complex (as defined in the MD&A) will be profitable with positive economics from mining, recoveries, grades, annual production, receipt of all necessary approvals and permits, the parameters and assumptions underlying the mineral resource and mineral reserve estimates and the financial analysis, and gold prices, the expected successful ramp-up and achieving full production, expected metal recoveries, gold production, TCC per ounce of gold sold, AISC per ounce of gold sold, projected cash flows and revenues from operations, estimated project capital expenditures and sustaining capital expenditures, continued uninterrupted access to the ELG Mine Complex and the Media Luna Project, the expected performance of the SART plant, the ability to mine and process estimated mineral reserves, goal to develop a sustainable, multi-asset, mining business, goal to leverage the expected strong free cash flow from the ELG Mine Complex to advance and de-risk value creating opportunities for shareholders including Media Luna and Muckahi, plans to pay down debt, plans to advance organic value creating opportunities, plans to complete trade-off studies and feasibility study (commencing early 2020) of the Media Luna Project, target start-up for underground operations at Media Luna (2024), goal to prove up potential of Muckahi including testing all key elements by the end of 2019, the potential of the Muckahi mining system to be an industry disruptive technology and to be a key element of Torex's corporate development strategy, expectation that full year sales guidance and TCC and AISC per ounce of gold sold guidance for 2019 will be achieved, plans to optimize the El Limón Guajes processing plant, potential to expand and/or upgrade mineral reserve and mineral resource estimates including Media Luna, Sub-Sill and El Limon Deep, potential to generate significant cash flow from the ELG Complex to advance growth opportunities, cash balance forecasts including during potential build of Media Luna, potential of the Company's Morelos Gold Property, potential benefits of current options being considered in trade-off studies, potential benefits of Muckahi, including reduction in capital and operating expenditures, time between investment and revenue and green house gas emissions, Muckahi's expected logistical improvements in material handling, expectation that Muckahi, if proven, would give the Company a material advantage in competing for assets and provide potential options for investment with higher returns, and the potential of Muckahi to improve the potential economic assessment of Media Luna. Generally, forward-looking information can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as "plans," "expects," or "does not expect," "is expected," "budget," "scheduled," "goal," "estimates," "forecasts," "intends," "anticipates," or "does not anticipate," or "believes" or variations of such words and phrases or statements that certain actions, events or results "may," "could," "would," "might," or "will be taken," "occur," or "be achieved." Forward-looking information is subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause the actual results, level of activity, performance or achievements of the Company to be materially different from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking information, including risks associated with the ramp-up of the processing plant, risks associated with skarn deposits, risks associated with achieving planned gold recoveries, fluctuation in gold and other metal prices, commodity price risk, currency exchange rate fluctuations, capital and operational cost estimates, the assumptions underlying the production estimates not being realized, decrease of future gold prices, cost of labor, supplies, fuel and equipment rising, the availability of financing on attractive terms, actual results of current exploration, development and exploitation activities not being consistent with expectations, changes in project parameters, delays and costs inherent to consulting and accommodating rights of local communities, hiring and training the required personnel and maintaining personnel relations, the feasibility of the Muckahi system, the assumptions underlying the expected reduction in in capital and operating expenditures, time between investment and revenue and green house gas emissions in a Muckahi mine, as well as those risk factors included in the MD&A, the Annual Information Form ("AIF") the Technical Report and the Company's other public disclosure which are available on www.sedar.com and www.torexgold.com. Certain material assumptions regarding such forward-looking information and forward-looking statements are discussed in this presentation, the MD&A, the AIF, the Technical Report and elsewhere in the Company's public disclosure. Readers are cautioned that the foregoing, together with the risks and assumptions set out in the MD&A, the AIF, the Technical Report and elsewhere in the Company's public disclosure, is not exhaustive of all factors and assumptions which may have been used. Although the Company has attempted to identify important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in forward-looking information and forward-looking statements, there may be other factors that cause results not to be as anticipated, estimated or intended. There can be no assurance that such information or statements will prove to be accurate, as actual results and future events could differ materially from those anticipated in such information. Accordingly, readers should not place undue reliance on forward-looking information. The forward-looking information and forward-looking statements contained herein is presented for the purposes of assisting investors in understanding the Company's expected financial and operating performance and the Company's plans and objectives and may not be appropriate for other purposes. The Company does not undertake to update any forward-looking information, except in accordance with applicable securities laws.

The scientific and technical data contained in this presentation has been reviewed and approved by Dr. Lars Weiershäuser, P.Geo, an employee of the Company. Dr. Lars Weiershäuser is a Qualified Person under National Instrument 43-101 – Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Properties. Additional technical information is contained in the technical report entitled "Morelos Property, NI 43-101 Technical Report, ELG Mine Complex Life of Mine Plan and Media Luna Preliminary Economic Assessment, Guerrero State, Mexico" dated effective March 31, 2018, and filed on September 4, 2018 (the "Technical Report"). The technical information contained in this presentation is based upon the information contained in the Technical Report which is available on SEDAR as <a href="www.sedar.com">www.sedar.com</a> and the Company's website at <a href="www.torexgold.com">www.sedar.com</a> and as updated in the Company's continuous disclosure documents also available on <a href="www.sedar.com">www.sedar.com</a> and <a href="www.torexgold.com">www.sedar.com</a> and <a href="www.torexgold.com">www.sedar.com</a> and <a href="www.torexgold.com">www.torexgold.com</a>.

# Our Goal Is To Develop A Financially Successful, Sustainable, Multi-Asset Mining Business

We plan to advance value creating opportunities for shareholders by leveraging the strong free cash flow potential of our El Limón Guajes mine.



- > Pay down long-term debt
- De-risk and advance our Media Luna project which is located about 7 km from El Limón Guajes in Mexico
  - Evaluate trade-off opportunities and commence a feasibility study
- Prove the potential of our Muckahi Mining System
  - Capitalize on the potential for Muckahi to be an industry disruptive technology
  - Committed the ELD deposit to testing the Muckahi components as an integrated system

### On Track To Achieve Full Year Guidance In 2019

On track to achieve full year sales guidance following record production in Q3 and the expectation for a solid close to 2019.

|  |         | 20      | 19      |         | C.: da2               |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------------|
|  | Q1      | Q2      | Q3      | YTD     | Guidance <sup>2</sup> |
| Gold Sold (ounces)                           | 76,473  | 113,419 | 132,535 | 322,427 | 430,000 (+/- 7%)      |
| Total Cash Costs <sup>1</sup> (\$/oz)        | \$745   | \$606   | \$561   | \$620   | \$580 (+/- 7%)        |
| All-in Sustaining Costs <sup>1</sup> (\$/oz) | \$1,161 | \$760   | \$675   | \$820   | \$790 (+/- 7%)        |
| Sustaining Capex (m\$)                       | \$25.1  | \$11.8  | \$8.9   | \$45.8  | \$66                  |
| Non-Sustaining Capex (m\$)                   | \$12.6  | \$12.5  | \$14.9  | \$40.0  | \$36                  |
| Plant Throughput (tpd)                       | 11,956  | 11,670  | 12,380  | 12,004  |                       |
| Processed Gold Grade (gpt)                   | 2.62    | 3.92    | 4.11    | 3.56    |                       |
| Gold Recovery (%)                            | 88%     | 88%     | 89%     | 88%     |                       |

- Achieve full year guidance<sup>2</sup>
- Deliver on cost guidance
- Optimize El Limón Guajes plant

- Expand Sub-Sill and ELD via drilling
- Complete in-fill drill program at Media Luna
- Advance and de-risk Muckahi

<sup>1)</sup> Non-IFRS performance measures. See Safe Harbour Statement. TCC = Total Cash Costs and AISC = All-in Sustaining Costs

<sup>2)</sup> Weighted to H2 2019 (see news release dated January 10, 2019)

## Strong Financial Performance Delivered In Q3 2019


Record quarterly production<sup>1</sup> and strong cash generation delivered within a quarter of zero lost time injuries.

- > Record quarterly production of 138,145 ounces
  - > 21% higher than previous quarterly record set in Q2/19
  - $\rightarrow$  AISC<sup>2</sup> of \$675/oz
- ➤ Total cash position increased \$52.2 million to \$168.0 million during Q3/19
- > Repaid \$39.5 million in debt during the quarter
  - ➤ Included a \$20.0 million prepayment on the revolving credit facility
  - ➤ Subsequent to Q3/19, repaid \$11.2 million outstanding on equipment lease
- Exited the quarter with a Lost Time Injury Frequency rate of 0.79 per million hours worked
- Amended long-term finance facility, reducing interest rate and improving financial flexibility

<sup>1)</sup> See Q3 2019 Financial Statements as well as Q3 2019 Management Discussion & Analysis (www.torexgold.com)

<sup>2)</sup> Non-IFRS performance measures. See Safe Harbour Statement.

## Committed To Protecting The Environment & People, And Building Productive Relationships With All Stakeholders



#### **Environmental**

- Filtered tails (dry-stack), best available technology for tailings management
- El Limón Guajes is effectively a 'zero discharge' site
- RopeCon improves safety, reduces fossil fuel consumption, and produces power
- El Limón Guajes designed, built and operated to Canadian standards
- Developing Muckahi, a low-carbon underground mining technology

#### Social

- Low lost time injury frequency rate of 0.79 per million hours as of Sep-30-2019
- ▶ 52% of mine-site employees from local communities; 98% from Mexico
- Community led decision making on allocating the Company's budget for community investment projects
- A number of initiatives underway to promote local economic development


#### Governance

- Executive Team with +100 years of industry experience; 33% female
- Experienced Board of Directors with a broad range of skills and experience
- A Board Committee dedicated to focusing on Environment & Community

<sup>1)</sup> Torex Gold – 2018 Corporate Responsibility Report (Government payments includes payments not covered by ESTMA such as import taxes and payroll taxes)

## El Limón Guajes Is Expected To Provide Significant Cash Flow To Advance Growth Opportunities

The chart sets out the anticipated year end cash position<sup>1,2</sup> – Muckahi is expected to provide options to invest the cash to generate higher returns.




<sup>1)</sup> Financial estimates as per September 4, 2018 Technical Report (see Appendix); does not include actual results since Report published.

<sup>2)</sup> Cash balance stood at \$168.0 million as of September 30, 2019 including \$0 million in restricted cash; Principal on Debt of \$265.2 million (Q3 2019 financial statements).

## Year End Cash Position During Build-Out Of Media Luna<sup>1,2</sup>


Two potential mining scenarios for Media Luna — Conventional mining delivers an after-tax IRR of 27% and Muckahi generates an IRR of 46%.



- 1) Financial estimates as per September 4, 2018 Technical Report (see Appendix); does not include actual results since Report published.
- 2) Cash balance stood at \$168.0 million as of September 30, 2019 including \$0 million in restricted cash; Principal on Debt of \$265.2 million (Q3 2019 financial statements).


## The Morelos Property Has The Potential To Offer A Great Deal More Beyond El Limón Guajes And Media Luna

'Purple' has been a good place to look for gold and copper; most of the 'purple' targets have yet to be drilled.




### Recent Drilling Highlights The Potential To Extend Resources And Reserves At Both Sub-Sill And ELD

Drill results show the potential for Sub-Sill to continue to be a sweetener to the open pits and ELD to be a future sweetener.



At Sub-Sill, drilling intersected economic mineralization 300 metres below reserves. The deposit is open at depth and along strike<sup>1</sup>.




2019 drilling at ELD intersected economic mineralization 150 metres below reserves. The deposit remains open in multiple directions<sup>2</sup>.

<sup>1)</sup> November 21, 2019 press release – Torex Gold extends mineralization down-dip at Sub-Sill

<sup>2)</sup> November 5, 2019 press release - Torex Gold extends mineralization 150 metres below current reserves at ELD Underground

# Media Luna – Leverages Existing Infrastructure And Extends Cash Flow Profile Beyond El Limón Guajes

A feasibility study is expected to kick-off early next year and will incorporate a number of Muckahi related trade-off studies.



- $\triangleright$  Current inferred resource of 7.4 million Au Eq. Oz. at a grade of 4.48 g/t<sup>1,2</sup>
- > Resource covers only 1/3<sup>rd</sup> of the associated magnetic anomaly
- > In-fill drilling of 25% of the resource is now complete
- > Feasibility study scheduled to begin early-2020

<sup>1)</sup> See Technical Report and Appendix for details on the mineral resource.

<sup>2)</sup> See first paragraph of slide 2.

## Media Luna – Trade-Off Studies Underway

Several key trade-off studies are in progress in advance of commencing a feasibility study in early-2020.

- Trade-off studies being evaluated include:
  - Accessing Media Luna under the Balsas River versus the prior design of going over the river using a RopeCon
  - Potential use of Torex's proprietary Muckahi Mining System
  - Ability to dispose of tailings in the Guajes open pit and possibility to repurpose the filtration plant building for the flotation circuit
  - Reducing power consumption
  - Metallurgical test-work
- Targeting start-up of underground operations in 2024, with surface stockpiles at ELG used to top-up the mill during the ramp-up phase

## Muckahi – Potential To Play A Role In Multi-Asset Diversification



Muckahi, which is being tested at our ELD underground deposit, would give us a material advantage when competing for assets.



## Muckahi – The Elevator Ride Explanation



"Why would anyone want to run a logistics business on single lane roads?"

### Muckahi is expected to achieve the following:


- Continuous material handling of ore from the stope to the shaft or surface (conveyors instead of LHD's and trucks)
- ➤ Batch transport of personnel, supplies, and development waste in 'two-lane tunnels' that are ½ the size of conventional tunnels
- ➤ Decline tunnels that are 4 times as steep and therefore ¼ the length of conventional decline tunnels
- An all electric mine that is easily automated
- Fewer processes from the stope to the processing plant, which means less capital costs, operating costs, and operating complexity
- Smaller, shorter tunnels mean less capex and rapid access to ore

## Muckahi – Getting Out Of The Box To Create Value




We are developing what could be an industry changing technology, which is expected to significantly improve the economics of Media Luna.

#### Media Luna Designed with Rubber Tired Equipment



#### Media Luna Designed with Muckahi



### Impact of Muckahi Mining System on Media Luna PEA

After-tax IRR estimate increases to 46% from 27%

Improvement in IRR driven by:

- 30% reduction in underground capital expenditures
- 20% reduction in underground operating costs
- 60% reduction in time to achieve commercial production
- 86 km of underground development versus 113 km with conventional mining

September 4, 2018 Technical Report and Press Release

See first paragraph of slide 2

## Muckahi – Turning Intention Into Reality




We are quickly de-risking our innovative mining system and expect to have tested all the key components by year-end.

Moving equipment with 2 lane traffic







### Four Test Objectives in 2019

- Monorail based development on the level
- Monorail based development on a 30° decline
- Long hole open stope fragmentation of 95% passing 400 mm or less (Q4)
- Long hole open stope mucking with a slusher (Q4)

<sup>1)</sup> See first paragraph of slide 2



**Torex Gold** 

RESOURCES INC.

TSX: TXG

Questions?

Asset | Team | Game Changing Technology

## Tailings Management — Utilizing Filtered Tailings, The Best Available Technology (Dry-Stack)







- Structurally stable impoundment
  - ➤ Tailings filtered to lower water content, stacked to utilize less land, and mechanically compacted
  - Conventional tailings dam not required
- Lowers overall water consumption
  - ➤ Tailings filtered to 17% moisture content
  - Greater proportion of water recycled
- Legacy challenges minimized
  - Revegetated upon closure
  - Very low risk of failure as no dam involved
- Tailings management processes are externally audited twice a year (wet & dry season)
- More than sufficient capacity to accommodate the current mine life of El Limón Guajes

## Health & Safety – Equipment, Training, Start Of Shift Safety Reviews, Security









## **Community Outreach Programs**









## Broadly Owned By Skilled Institutional Investors

Institutional investors with deep understanding of the industry, that believe in the asset, Management Team and Board of Directors.

| Institution <sup>1</sup> | Shares<br>(millions) | Shares<br>(%) |
|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| Van Eck ETFs             | 9.6                  | 11.2%         |
| Blackrock                | 6.3                  | 7.4%          |
| Tocqueville              | 4.9                  | 5.7%          |
| Fidelity                 | 4.6                  | 5.4%          |
| RBC Global Asset         | 4.4                  | 5.2%          |
| Marlin Sams Fund         | 3.4                  | 4.0%          |
| Invesco                  | 2.9                  | 3.4%          |
| Vanguard Group           | 2.3                  | 2.7%          |
| Franklin                 | 2.2                  | 2.6%          |
| Dimensional              | 1.6                  | 1.9%          |

| Corporate Data <sup>2</sup>       |                 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| Cash - Including restricted cash  | \$168.0 million |
| Debt - Including deferred charges | \$265.2 million |
| Shares Outstanding (Oct-30-2019)  | 85.4 million    |
| Share Price (Nov-29-2019)         | C\$19.99/sh     |
| Market Value (Nov-29-2019)        | C\$1.71 billion |

<sup>1)</sup> IHS Markits (public data), Company filings, and Public filings as of November 29, 2019

<sup>2)</sup> Restricted cash stood at \$0 million as of September 30, 2019; Deferred finance charges on total outstanding debt stood at \$9.5 million as of September 30, 2019.

## A Board With Strong Strategic And Governance Experience

## Deep experience gained as industry professionals, complemented by extensive Board level experience.

#### TERRY MacGIBBON, PGeo, ICD.D Board Chair

45+ years of mining industry experience. Founder, Chairman and Chief Executive of several TSX and TSXV listed companies that became successful mining enterprises.

#### ANDREW ADAMS, CA Audit Committee Chair

30+ years of financial experience in the mining industry, including serving as senior executive and director.

#### JAMES CROMBIE, PEng

30+ years broadly based experience in the mining industry as senior executive, mining analyst and investment banker.

#### FRANK DAVIS, JD, MBA, ICD.D Governance & Nominating Committee Chair

35+ years experience, recognized as one of Canada's leading lawyers in securities and mining. Other principal areas of practice include capital markets, M&A, and corporate governance.

#### DAVID FENNELL, LLB

35+ years experience as a director and senior executive with TSX and TSXV listed companies.

#### MICHAEL MURPHY, MBA, ICD.D Environment & CSR Committee Chair

25+ years of global institutional equities and corporate experience.

#### BILL SHAVER, PEng Health & Safety Committee Chair

40+ years global operational mining expertise, mainly in the mining contracting industry.

#### ELIZABETH WADEMAN, CFA, ICD.D Compensation Committee Chair

23+ years experience in investment banking and capital markets.

#### FRED STANFORD, PEng, ICD.D President & Chief Executive Officer

35+ years of operational and corporate experience. Retired from Vale as President of its Ontario Operations.

### A Beautiful Asset And A Tested Management Team

## Proven ability to navigate the technical, commercial, and social complexities of challenging mining operations.

#### FRED STANFORD, PEng, ICD.D President & Chief Executive Officer

CEO of Torex since the Company was formed in 2009. Prior 28 year operating career with Inco/Vale, retired as President of Ontario Operations.

#### JODY KUZENKO, LLB Chief Operating Officer

20 years of operational and business experience, mainly at Inco/Vale, where she held roles of increasing responsibility in operations management.

#### STEVEN THOMAS, FCA Chief Financial Officer

30 years of international corporate experience, with 15 years in leading mining companies. Track record of co-developing strategic business projects.

#### BARRY MURPHY, Pr Eng V.P. Engineering

25 years of international operating and project development experience in the mining and metals industry across three continents.

#### JON GILLIGAN, PhD V.P. Automated Mine Design

30+ years of multi-commodity, international mining experience across advanced exploration, resource development, capital projects, technical services and mine operations.

#### BERNIE LOYER V.P. Projects

45 years of experience in mining operations, projects and equipment development in numerous countries including Mexico, Argentina, Chile, Australia and Peru. Leads the development of equipment and technology for the Company's proprietary Muckahi Mining System.

#### ANNE STEPHEN V.P. Human Resources


35+ years of experience as an HR executive and management consultant. Leads the 'People Systems' development, coordinating closely with operations.

#### MARY BATOFF, LLB General Counsel & Corporate Secretary

20+ years of experience with publicly traded companies in the mining and exploration sectors.

#### DAN ROLLINS, CFA V.P. Corporate Development & Investor Relations

15+ years of mining and metals related industry experience, including more than 10 years of experience as a sell-side equity analyst.



Torex Gold
RESOURCES INC.

TSX: TXG

## **Appendix**

Asset | Team | Game Changing Technology

### El Limón Guajes Open Pit Mineral Resource Statement Effective As Of December 31, 2018

| Resource Class                    | Tonnes | Au Grade | Ag Grade | Contained Au | Contained Ag |
|-----------------------------------|--------|----------|----------|--------------|--------------|
| Resource Glass                    | (Mt)   | (g/t)    | (g/t)    | (Moz)        | (Moz)        |
| El Limón (including El Limón Sur) |        |          |          |              |              |
| Measured                          | 4.80   | 3.29     | 4.68     | 0.51         | 0.72         |
| Indicated                         | 20.20  | 2.67     | 4.29     | 1.73         | 2.79         |
| Measured & Indicated              | 25.00  | 2.79     | 4.37     | 2.24         | 3.51         |
| Inferred                          | 3.07   | 1.94     | 4.94     | 0.19         | 0.49         |
| Guajes                            |        |          |          |              |              |
| Measured                          | 1.97   | 2.41     | 2.09     | 0.15         | 0.13         |
| Indicated                         | 8.81   | 2.81     | 2.79     | 0.80         | 0.79         |
| Measured & Indicated              | 10.78  | 2.73     | 2.66     | 0.95         | 0.92         |
| Inferred                          | 0.45   | 1.50     | 2.58     | 0.02         | 0.04         |
| Total El Limón Guajes             |        |          |          |              |              |
| Measured                          | 6.77   | 3.04     | 3.93     | 0.66         | 0.85         |
| Indicated                         | 29.01  | 2.71     | 3.84     | 2.53         | 3.58         |
| Measured & Indicated              | 35.78  | 2.77     | 3.85     | 3.19         | 4.43         |
| Inferred                          | 3.52   | 1.89     | 4.64     | 0.21         | 0.52         |

Notes to accompany above Resource Table:

- 2) The estimate was prepared by Dr. Lars Weiershäuser, P.Geo, an employee of Torex Gold Resources Inc., who is a "Qualified Person" under NI 43-101.
- 3) Mineral resources are reported inclusive mineral reserves; mineral resources that are not mineral reserves have not demonstrated economic viability.
- 4) Mineral resources have been reported below a topography with mining progress as of December 31, 2018. Stockpiled material is not considered in the mineral resource tabulation.
- 5) Mineral Resources are classified in accordance with the 2014 CIM Definition Standards for Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves and the 2003 CIM Estimation of Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves Best Practice Guidelines (the "CIM Standards")
- 6) Rounding as required by reporting guidelines may result in apparent summation differences between tonnes, grade, and contained metal content.
- 7) Mineral resources are reported at a cut-off grade of 0.7 g/t gold and are constraint within a conceptual open pit shell.
- 8) Mineral resources are reported using a long-term metal prices of US\$1380/oz gold and US\$21/oz silver.
- The assumed mining costs are US\$2.18/tonne, processing costs US\$19.09/tonne, general and administrative costs of US\$8.80/tonne processed.
- 10) Metallurgical recoveries are assumed to be 87% for gold and 32% for silver. Assumed pit slopes range from 3 to 49 degrees.

The effective date of the estimate is December 31, 2018.

### El Limón Guajes Open Pit Mineral Reserve Statement Effective As Of December 31, 2018

| Reserve Category                           | Tonnes<br>(Mt) | Au Grade<br>(g/t) | Ag Grade<br>(g/t) | Contained Au<br>(Moz) | Contained Ag<br>(Moz) |
|--|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| El Limón (including El Limón Sur) - Note 3 |                |                   |                   |                       |                       |
| Proven                                     | 3.80           | 3.48              | 4.20              | 0.43                  | 0.51                  |
| Probable                                   | 11.24          | 3.05              | 3.69              | 1.10                  | 1.33                  |
| Sub-total Proven & Probable                | 15.04          | 3.16              | 3.82              | 1.53                  | 1.85                  |
| Guajes - Note 3                            |                |                   |                   |                       |                       |
| Proven                                     | 1.45           | 2.57              | 1.76              | 0.12                  | 0.08                  |
| Probable                                   | 6.16           | 3.06              | 2.77              | 0.61                  | 0.55                  |
| Sub-total Proven & Probable                | 7.61           | 2.96              | 2.58              | 0.73                  | 0.63                  |
| Mined stockpiles                           |                |                   |                   |                       |                       |
| Proven                                     | 0.83           | 1.41              | 6.47              | 0.04                  | 0.17                  |
| ELG Low Grade - Note 4                     |                |                   |                   |                       |                       |
| Proven                                     | 0.29           | 1.02              | 1.91              | 0.01                  | 0.02                  |
| Probable                                   | 1.04           | 1.01              | 1.87              | 0.03                  | 0.06                  |
| Sub-total Proven & Probable                | 1.34           | 1.01              | 1.88              | 0.04                  | 0.08                  |
| Total El Limón Guajes                      |                |                   |                   |                       |                       |
| Proven                                     | 6.38           | 2.89              | 3.84              | 0.59                  | 0.79                  |
| Probable                                   | 18.44          | 2.94              | 3.28              | 1.74                  | 1.95                  |
| Total Proven & Probable                    | 24.82          | 2.92              | 3.42              | 2.33                  | 2.73                  |

Notes to accompany above Reserve Table:

- 1) Mineral Reserves are founded on Guajes, El Limón and El Limón Sur measured and indicated mineral resources with an effective date of December 31, 2018.
- 2) Mineral Reserves are reported based on open pit mining within designed pits and incorporate estimates of 15% dilution and 5% mining losses.
- 3) El Limón, El Limón Sur and Guajes mineral reserves are reported above diluted cut-off grades of 1.1 g/t Au. The cut-off grades and pit designs are considered appropriate for metal prices of US\$1200/Oz and US\$17/oz silver, and estimated mining, processing, and G&A unit costs during pit operation.
- 4) ELG Low Grade mineral reserves are reported above a diluted cut-off grade of 0.9 g/t Au and below the higher cut-off grades identified in Note 3. It is planned that ELG Low Grade mineral reserves within the designed pits will be stockpiled during pit operation and processed during pit closure. The Low Grade cut-off is considered appropriate for metal prices of US\$1200/Oz and US\$17/oz silver, and estimated ore rehandle, processing, and G&A unit costs during pit closure.
- Mineral Reserves were developed in accordance with CIM Standards.
- 6) Rounding may result in apparent summation differences between tonnes, grade, and contained metal content.
- 7) The qualified person for the mineral reserve estimate is Dawson Proudfoot, P.Eng. Mr. Proudfoot was the Vice President of Engineering of the Company at the effective date of the reserve estimate.

## Sub-Sill Mineral Resource and Reserve Statements Effective As Of December 31, 2018

| Resource Class       | Tonnes<br>(Mt) | Au Grade<br>(g/t) | Ag Grade<br>(g/t) | Contained Au<br>(Moz) | Contained Ag<br>(Moz) |
|----------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Sub-Sill             |                |                   |                   |                       |                       |
| Measured             | 0.06           | 10.14             | 10.35             | 0.02                  | 0.02                  |
| Indicated            | 1.11           | 6.87              | 11.74             | 0.24                  | 0.42                  |
| Measured & Indicated | 1.17           | 7.04              | 11.67             | 0.26                  | 0.44                  |
| Inferred             | 1.28           | 5.91              | 6.93              | 0.24                  | 0.29                  |

#### Notes to accompany above Resource Table:

- 1) The effective date of the estimate is December 31, 2018.
- 2) The estimate was prepared by Dr. Lars Weiershäuser, P.Geo, an employee of Torex Gold Resources Inc., who is a "Qualified Person" under NI 43-101.
- 3) Mineral resources are reported inclusive mineral reserves; mineral resources that are not mineral reserves have not demonstrated economic viability.
- 4) Mineral resources have been reported below the reserve pit of the El Limon deposit and consider mining progress as of December 31, 2018.
- Mineral Resources are classified in accordance with the CIM Standards.
- 6) Rounding as required by reporting guidelines may result in apparent summation differences between tonnes, grade, and contained metal content.
- 7) Mineral Resources are reported above a 2.5 g/t Au cut-off grade. The assumed mining method is from underground.
- 8) Mineral resources are reported using a long-term metal prices of US\$1380/oz gold and US\$21/oz silver.
- 9) Metallurgical recoveries are assumed to be 87% for gold and 32% for silver.
- 10) Mineral Resources are reported as undiluted; grades are contained grades.

| Reserve Category        | Tonnes<br>(Mt) | Au Grade<br>(g/t) | Ag Grade<br>(g/t) | Cu Grade<br>(%) | Contained Au<br>(Moz) | Contained Ag<br>(Moz) |
|-------------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Sub-Sill                |                |                   |                   |                 |                       |                       |
| Proven                  | 0.04           | 7.48              | 8.18              | 0.29            | 0.01                  | 0.01                  |
| Probable                | 0.62           | 6.91              | 11.42             | 0.61            | 0.14                  | 0.23                  |
| Total Proven & Probable | 0.66           | 6.94              | 11.23             | 0.60            | 0.15                  | 0.24                  |

Notes to accompany above Reserve Table:

- Mineral Reserves are founded on ELG Underground measured and indicated mineral resources with an effective date of December 31, 2018.
- 2) The Mineral Reserves are based on mechanized cut and fill mining with a diluted cut-off grade of 4.2g/t Au and a diluted incremental cut-off grade of 0.9g/t Au. Operating costs are estimated at USD\$120/processed tonne.
- The Mineral Reserves process plant recoveries range 80.1% to 88.3% for gold and incorporate estimates for mining dilution and mining losses.
- 4) Mineral Reserves were developed in accordance with CIM Standards.
- Rounding may result in apparent summation differences between tonnes, grade, and contained metal content.
- 6) The qualified person for the mineral reserve estimate is Clifford Lafleur, P.Eng. Director of Technical Services of the Company.

## El Limón Deep Mineral Resource and Reserve Statements Effective As Of April 15, 2019

| Resource Class       | Tonnes | Au Grade | Ag Grade | Contained Au | Contained Ag |
|----------------------|--------|----------|----------|--------------|--------------|
| Resource Class       | (kt)   | (g/t)    | (g/t)    | (koz)        | (koz)        |
| El Limon Deep (ELD)  |        |          |          |              |              |
| Measured             | -      | -        | -        | -            | -            |
| Indicated            | 797    | 5.52     | 6.62     | 141          | 170          |
| Measured & Indicated | 797    | 5.52     | 6.62     | 141          | 170          |
| Inferred             | 1,090  | 5.20     | 6.95     | 182          | 243          |

Notes to accompany ELD Zone Underground Mineral Resource Table:

- 1) The effective date of the estimate is April 15, 2019.
- 2) Mineral resources are reported above a 2.5 g/t Au cut-off grade. The assumed mining method is from underground.
- 3) Mineral resources are reported using long-term metal prices of US\$1,380/oz gold and US\$21/oz silver.
- Metallurgical recoveries are assumed to be 87% for gold and 32% for silver.
- 5) Mineral resources are reported inclusive mineral reserves; mineral resources that are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability.
- The mineral resources are stated below the mineral reserve pit of the El Limon deposit. Declaration of mineral resources amenable for underground extraction removes 170 kt (10 koz Au), 2,360 kt (190 koz Au), and 420 kt (40 koz Au) from the measured, indicated, and inferred open pit resource as reported December 31, 2018, respectively.
- Mineral resources are classified in accordance with the CIM Standards.
- Rounding as required by reporting guidelines may result in apparent summation differences between tonnes, grade, and contained metal content.
- Mineral resources are reported as undiluted; grades are contained grades.
- 10) The estimate was prepared by Dr. Lars Weiershäuser, P.Geo., an employee of the Company, who is a "Qualified Person" under NI 43-101.

| Reserve Category        | Tonnes<br>(kt) | Au Grade<br>(g/t) | Ag Grade<br>(g/t) | Contained Au<br>(koz) | Contained Ag<br>(koz) |
|-------------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| El Limon Deep (ELD)     |                |                   |                   |                       |                       |
| Proven                  | -              | -                 | -                 | -                     | -                     |
| Probable                | 487            | 5.50              | 6.44              | 86                    | 101                   |
| Total Proven & Probable | 487            | 5.50              | 6.44              | 86                    | 101                   |

Notes to accompany above Reserve Table:

- ELD Underground mineral reserves are based on an indicated mineral resource: mineral reserves have an effective date of April 15, 2019.
- 2) The mineral reserves are based on mechanized cut and fill mining with an estimated ore cut-off grade of 3.7 g/t Au and an incremental cut-off grade of 0.9 g/t Au.
- Mineral reserves are estimated using a metal price of US\$1,200/oz Au.
- 4) The mineral reserves process plant recoveries are 87% for gold and incorporate estimates for mining dilution and mining losses.
- 5) Rounding may result in apparent summation differences between tonnes, grade, and contained metal content.
- 6) Mineral Reserves were developed in accordance with CIM Standards.
- The qualified person for the mineral reserve estimate is Clifford Lafleur, Professional Engineer of Ontario, Canada and a Torex employee.

## Media Luna Deposit Inferred Mineral Resource Estimate @ 2.0 g/t Au Eq. Cut-off Grade (Effective As Of June 23, 2015)

| Deposit    | Resourc<br>e<br>Categor<br>y | Tonne<br>s<br>(Mt) | Gold<br>Eq.<br>Grade<br>g/t | Containe<br>d Gold<br>Eq.<br>(Moz) | Gold<br>Grad<br>e<br>(g/t) | Containe<br>d Gold<br>(Moz) | Silver<br>Grad<br>e g/t | Containe<br>d Silver<br>(Moz) | Coppe<br>r<br>Grade<br>% | Containe<br>d Copper<br>(Mlb) |
|------------|------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Media Luna | Inferred                     | 51.5               | 4.48                        | 7.42                               | 2.40                       | 3.98                        | 26.59                   | 44.02                         | 0.99                     | 1,128.50                      |

Notes to accompany mineral resource table

- 1) The qualified person for this estimate is Mark Hertel, RM SME, an AMEC Foster Wheeler employee as at the date of the estimate. The estimate has an effective date of June 23, 2015.
- 2) Au Equivalent (AuEq) = Au (g/t) + Cu % \*(79.37/47.26) + Ag (g/t) \* (0.74/47.26)
- 3) Mineral Resources are reported using a 2 g/t Au Eq. grade
- 4) Mineral Resources are reported as undiluted; grades are contained grades
- Mineral Resources are reported using a long-term gold price of US\$1470/oz, silver price of US\$23.00/oz, and copper price of US\$3.60/lb. The metal prices used for the Mineral Resources estimates are based on Amec Foster Wheeler's internal guidelines which are based on long-term consensus prices. The assumed mining method is underground, costs per tonne of mineralized material, including mining, milling, and general and administrative used were US\$50 per tonne to US\$60 per tonne. Metallurgical recoveries average 88% for gold, 70% for silver and 92% for copper.
- 6) Inferred blocks are located within 110 m of two drill holes, which approximates a 100 m x 100 m drill hole grid spacing.
- 7) Mineral resources that are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability.
- 8) Rounding as required by reporting guidelines may result in apparent summation differences between tonnes, grade, and contained metal content.
- 9) For additional information, see the Technical Report.

The Media Luna PEA is preliminary in nature, and is based on inferred mineral resources that are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be categorized as mineral reserves, and there is no certainty that the Media Luna PEA will be realized. Mineral resources that are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability.

## ELG Complex Life Of Mine Plan September 2018 Technical Report

|   | Unit  | Total | 2018  | 2019  | 2020  | 2021  | 2022  | 2023  | 2024  |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Financial Outcomes                                    |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Total Au ounces sold                                  | Koz   | 2,737 | 348   | 467   | 428   | 400   | 456   | 401   | 236   |
| Cash Flows and balances                               |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Cash Flow before debt repayment                       | \$M   | 1,063 | 83    | 166   | 153   | 159   | 229   | 197   | 124   |
| Debt Repayment  | \$M   | (396) | (56)  | (82)  | (165) | (43)  | (51)  | -     | -     |
| Debt remaining at year end                            | \$M   |       | 341   | 258   | 94    | 51    | 0     | 0     | 0     |
| Cash on hand (excl. restricted cash)                  | \$M   |       | 73    | 156   | 144   | 261   | 439   | 635   | 759   |
| EBITDA  |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| EBITDA  | \$M   | 1,767 | 206   | 323   | 303   | 265   | 320   | 269   | 80    |
| Total Cash Cost (net of by-product)                   |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Total Cash Cost                                       | M\$   | 1,518 | 212   | 237   | 211   | 215   | 227   | 213   | 203   |
| Cash Cost per ounce Sold                              | \$/oz | 554   | 608   | 508   | 492   | 537   | 498   | 530   | 862   |
| AISC (net of by-product)                              |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Total Sustaining Capex (including Deferred Stripping) | M\$   | 253   | 100   | 59    | 66    | 20    | 6     | 2     | 0     |
| Sustaining Capital                                    | M\$   | 104   | 38    | 32    | 24    | 6     | 2     | 2     | 0     |
| Deferred Stripping                                    | M\$   | 150   | 62    | 27    | 43    | 15    | 4     | -     | -     |
| Other Cost (G&A, ARO, Exploration.)                   | M\$   | 239   | 32    | 28    | 28    | 28    | 28    | 28    | 66    |
| Total AISC  | M\$   | 2,010 | 344   | 324   | 305   | 263   | 261   | 242   | 270   |
| AISC per ounce Sold                                   | \$/oz | 734   | 988   | 695   | 712   | 658   | 572   | 604   | 978   |
| Development and Growth Investment                     |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Muckahi   | M\$   | 5     | 5     | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     |
| Media Luna  | M\$   | 28    | 10    | 14    | 4     | -     | -     | -     | -     |
| SART Plant and Sub-sill                               | M\$   | 26    | 25    | 1     | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     |
| Operating Statistics                                  |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Open Pit ore tonnes mined                             | Mt    | 33.4  | 4.9   | 5.9   | 6.1   | 5.5   | 5.8   | 4.7   | 0.5   |
| Open Pit Waste tonnes mined                           | Mt    | 191.5 | 30.8  | 44.2  | 42.0  | 35.7  | 26.3  | 11.8  | 0.8   |
| Open Pit strip ratio                                  | W:O   | 5.8   | 6.3   | 7.5   | 6.9   | 6.5   | 4.5   | 2.5   | 1.6   |
| Open Pit Au grade                                     | gpt   | 2.72  | 2.79  | 2.49  | 2.45  | 2.69  | 2.95  | 2.98  | 3.02  |
| Underground ore tonnes mined                          | Mt    | 0.53  | 0.07  | 0.30  | 0.16  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  |
| Underground Au grade                                  | gpt   | 10.85 | 14.22 | 10.92 | 9.26  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  |
| Process Plant production                              | Mt    | 34.6  | 4.32  | 5.04  | 5.04  | 5.04  | 5.04  | 5.04  | 5.12  |
| Feed head grade                                       | gpt   | 2.82  | 2.91  | 3.32  | 3.04  | 2.84  | 3.24  | 2.85  | 1.55  |
| Gold recovery   | %     | 87%   | 86.4% | 86.9% | 86.9% | 87.0% | 87.0% | 87.0% | 87.0% |
| Stockpile at year end                                 | Mt    |       | 1.4   | 2.5   | 3.8   | 4.3   | 5.0   | 4.6   | 0.0   |
| Stockpile grade at year end                           | gpt   |       | 2.38  | 1.78  | 1.50  | 1.45  | 1.38  | 1.39  | 0.00  |

<sup>1)</sup> Cash Flow in 2018 includes Financing proceeds of \$48m. Revenue adjusted to actual price for H1

Metal Prices used \$1,200/oz Au, \$17.00/oz Ag, \$3.00/lb Cu, USD:MXN 1:18, Reserves as of March 31, 2018

<sup>3)</sup> Lowest quartile producer per ELG LoM AISC per ounce sold v. Wood Mackenzie Cost Curve for 2018 and 2019

## Media Luna Conventional PEA September 2018 Technical Report

| ML Conventional PEA  | Unit     | Total   | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022   | 2023   | 2024   | 2025   | 2026   | 2027   | 2028   | 2029   | 2030   | 2031   | 2032   | 2033   |
|--|----------|---------|------|------|------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Financial Outcomes   |          |         |      |      |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Au Eq (kozs)   | Koz eq   | 3,911   | -    | -    | -    | •    | 38     | 274    | 399    | 437    | 404    | 427    | 352    | 358    | 372    | 344    | 320    | 186    |
| Total Au ounces sold (commercial phase)  | Koz      | 2,099   | -    | -    | -    | ١    |        | 61     | 195    | 242    | 247    | 264    | 192    | 199    | 203    | 200    | 180    | 114    |
| Cash Flow generated  |          |         |      |      |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Revenue  | M\$      | 4,516   | -    | -    | -    | -    | -      | 197    | 478    | 524    | 484    | 513    | 423    | 429    | 447    | 413    | 384    | 223    |
| Operating Cost   | M\$      | 2,243   | -    | -    | -    | •    | -      | 96     | 213    | 221    | 220    | 230    | 235    | 225    | 228    | 219    | 217    | 139    |
| Operating Cash Flow  | M\$      | 2,273   | -    | -    | -    | -    | -      | 101    | 265    | 304    | 265    | 283    | 188    | 204    | 218    | 193    | 167    | 85     |
| Capital Capita |          |         |      |      |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Project Capital  | M\$      | 496     | -    | -    | 64   | 121  | 257    | 55     | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      |
| Pre-Commercial Costs Capitalised (Cost - revenue)  | M\$      | (85)    | -    | -    | -    | -    | (18)   | (67)   | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      |
| Sustaining Capital   | M\$      | 109     | -    | -    | -    | -    | -      | 13     | 17     | 20     | 12     | 17     | 10     | 10     | 7      | 3      | -      | -      |
| Total Cash Cost & AISC   |          |         |      |      |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Total Cash Cost (commercial phase)   | M\$      | 2,243   | -    | -    | -    | -    | -      | 96     | 213    | 221    | 220    | 230    | 235    | 225    | 228    | 219    | 217    | 139    |
| Cash cost per Au Eq  | \$/oz eq | 596     | -    | -    | -    | -    | -      | 586    | 534    | 505    | 544    | 539    | 668    | 629    | 613    | 638    | 678    | 745    |
| Total AISC   | M\$      | 2,331   | -    | -    | -    | -    | -      | 109    | 230    | 241    | 232    | 247    | 246    | 235    | 236    | 222    | 217    | 117    |
| AISC per Au Eq   | \$/oz eq | 619     | -    | -    | -    | -    | -      | 663.24 | 578    | 552    | 574    | 578    | 697    | 656    | 633    | 646    | 678    | 627    |
| Operating Statistics   |          |         |      |      |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Total Ore Mined  | Mt       | 30.9    | -    | -    | -    | -    | 0.25   | 1.94   | 2.79   | 2.81   | 2.81   | 3.11   | 3.08   | 3.10   | 3.13   | 3.08   | 3.07   | 1.77   |
| Au grade   | g/t      | 2.58    | -    | -    | -    | -    | 1.17   | 1.96   | 2.60   | 3.21   | 3.26   | 3.15   | 2.32   | 2.38   | 2.42   | 2.42   | 2.18   | 2.39   |
| Ag grade   | g/t      | 27.59   | -    | -    | -    | -    | 52.65  | 39.73  | 35.82  | 39.06  | 28.84  | 22.24  | 23.64  | 24.76  | 26.94  | 20.92  | 22.15  | 21.09  |
| Cu grade   | %        | 1.03%   | -    | -    | -    | -    | 2.27%  | 1.62%  | 1.31%  | 1.22%  | 1.00%  | 0.97%  | 0.95%  | 0.93%  | 0.97%  | 0.85%  | 0.82%  | 0.73%  |
| Au Recovery  | %        | 85.10%  |      |      |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Ag Recovery  | %        | 75.00%  |      |      |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Cu Recovery  | %        | 88.80%  |      |      |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Au Sold  | Koz      | 2,148   |      | -    | -    | -    | 8      | 102    | 195    | 242    | 247    | 264    | 192    | 199    | 203    | 200    | 180    | 114    |
| Ag Sold  | Koz      | 18,657  |      | -    | -    | -    | 287    | 1,684  | 2,186  | 2,395  | 1,772  | 1,512  | 1,591  | 1,680  | 1,841  | 1,406  | 1,487  | 817    |
| Cu Sold  | Klbs     | 599,448 |      | -    | -    | -    | 10,605 | 59,143 | 68,894 | 64,243 | 52,751 | 56,809 | 55,013 | 54,031 | 57,050 | 49,391 | 47,340 | 24,177 |
| Au Eq (kozs)   | Koz eq   | 3,911   |      |      | -    |      | 38     | 274    | 399    | 437    | 404    | 427    | 352    | 358    | 372    | 344    | 320    | 186    |

<sup>1)</sup> Metal Prices used \$1,200/oz Au, \$17.00/oz Ag, \$3.00/lb Cu, USD:MXN 1:18

<sup>2)</sup> A preliminary economic assessment should not be considered a prefeasibility study or feasibility study, as the economics and technical viability of the Media Luna Project have not been demonstrated at this time. The Media Luna PEA is preliminary in nature and includes inferred mineral resources that are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be categorized as mineral reserves. It cannot be assumed that all or any part of the inferred resources will ever be upgraded to a higher category. Furthermore, there is no certainty that the conclusions or results as reported in the Media Luna PEA will be realized. Mineral resources that are not mineral reserves do not do not have demonstrated economic viability.



Torex Gold

RESOURCES INC.

TSX: TXG

Asset | Team | Game Changing Technology